UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,381	10/03/2005	Robert J. Hawley	65794371(51588)	4847
71284 7590 06/26/2008 EWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP (Client: MGH, Partners) P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			EXAMINER	
			CROUCH, DEBORAH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/524,381	HAWLEY, ROBERT J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 I This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2 and 17-42 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-16 and 43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ne 37 CFR 1.85(a). Dijected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1632

Applicant's arguments filed February 19, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The amendment has been entered. Newly submitted claim 44 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The originally examined method of selecting for GGTA1 null cells is distinct from the newly added claims 44, to a method of creating a viable GGTA1 null swine. The method of selecting cells can be used to enrich for GGTA1 null swine cells to observe the effects of the knockout on cellular metabolism in vitro. Thus the method is distinct because it has a separate use.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 44 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claims 3-16 and 43 are under examination. Claims 1, 2 and 17-42 are withdrawn from consideration.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3-16 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 3, from which claims 4-16 and 43 depend, has been amended to state "enriching for GGTA1 null cells without drug selection." However, there is no evidence in the

specification that applicant enriching without drug selection. The specification does not disclose selection without exposure to a drug. In fact, the specification discloses selection by multiple rounds of antibody selection of Gal positive cells followed by complement mediated lysis. Both the Gal antibodies and complement are drugs in that they are bioeffective. Thus, there is no contemplation of selection without exposure to a drug.

Applicant must cancel the new matter added to claim 3 or provide evidence of contemplation.

Claims 3-16 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 3, from which claims 4-16 and 43 depend, has been amended to state "enriching for GGTA1 null cells without drug selection." The specification does not provide any guidance or teachings on a methodology that would permit selection of null, homozygous knockout, GGTA1 cells. The specific disclosure is to expose the GGTA1 cells to multiple rounds of Gal-antibody and complement to lysis cells that bind the antibody. However as antibodies and complement are bioeffective compounds, they fall within the category of "drugs." Thus, the specification fails to enable the invention as presently claimed. The skilled artisan at the time of filing would have needed to engage in an undue amount of experimentation without a predictable degree of success to implement the invention as claimed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1632

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Crouch, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0727. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fri, 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Deborah Crouch, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632 Art Unit: 1632